UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,639	04/22/2005	Michel Thebault	T5825.0002	9145	
	32172 7590 04/08/2008 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP			EXAMINER	
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			CAMPANELL, FRANCIS C		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10036-2714	ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,639	THEBAULT ET	AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRANCIS C. CAMPANELL	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
		•	
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection	·		_
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper rep	oly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8		the statutory period	d of three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).	iired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	otice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire	interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:			
A call to Edward Meilman on 04/03/2008 confirmed	the case was abandoned		
/Walter D. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	e promptly filed to